Plan for Assessment: Communication MA Degree

A. College, Department and Date:

College: Arts and Science

Department: Communication & Journalism

Date: October 10, 2015

B. Academic Program of Study: Masters in Communication

C. Contact Person(s) for the Assessment Plan:

Judith Hendry, Masters Program Director Janet Shiver, Interim Chair

D. Broad Program Goals and Measureable Learning Outcomes

1. Broad Program Goals:

A. The mission of the graduate program is to promote the study of communication, culture, and change. The department actively promotes new knowledge creation through research and creative activities and aspires to serve the broader academic and professional community by preparing students to become excellent researchers, teachers, and leaders.

2. Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for this Degree Program:

A.1. SLO 1: Students should be able to write in a clear, coherent manner appropriate to MA level writing. (writing)
UNM Goals: _X_ Knowledge _X_ SkillsResponsibility
A.2. SLO 2: Students will demonstrate an ability to make an oral presentation that represents the level of professional and academic expertise appropriate to MA Students. (oral presentation)
UNM Goals: _X_ Knowledge _X_ SkillsResponsibility
A.3 SLO 3: Students will demonstrate the ability to conduct research using library and online data bases. (research and data bases).
UNM Goals: _X_ Knowledge _X_ SkillsResponsibility
A.4 SLO 6: Each student who is a teaching assistant will demonstrate the ability to design and teach course content and manage classroom interaction. (teach)
UNM Goals: X Knowledge X Skills X Responsibility

E. Assessment of Student Learning Three-Year Plan

1. Timeline for Assessment (Note: Data gathering will be on-going every semester)

Year/Semester	Assessment Activities
1 st Spring	Data for SLOs 1 and 2 will be analyzed and report drafted
1st Fall	Results will be reported to program faculty and meeting called to discuss how to
	improve student learning.
2 nd Spring	Data for SLO 3 will be analyzed and report drafted
2 nd Fall	Results will be reported to program faculty and meeting called to discuss how to
	improve student learning.
3 rd Spring	Data for SLO 4 will be analyzed and report drafted
3 rd Fall	Results will be reported to program faculty and meeting called to discuss how to
	improve student learning.

2. How will learning outcomes be assessed?

A. What

SLO 1: Students should be able to write in a clear, coherent manner appropriate to MA level writing

Direct Measures:

- i. To assess this we will use each thesis/project defense as the assessment forum. Each of the student's three committee members will fill out a rubric (attached) measuring this SLO.
- ii. This is a direct measure.
- iii. Criteria for success—see attached rubric

<u>Indirect Measures:</u> In addition to the direct measures discussed above, SLO 1 through will be measured indirectly with a survey that each graduating MA student will fill out at the time of the thesis/project defense. This survey will measure the student's perception of how well their program of study prepared them to be able to do each of these SLOs. Open ended questions will also ask what the student feels are the strengths and the weakness of the program. (See attached survey.)

SLO 2: Students will demonstrate an ability to make an oral presentation that represents the level of professional and academic expertise appropriate to MA Students.

Direct Measures:

- i. To assess this we will use each student's thesis/project defense as the assessment forum. Each of the student's three committee members will fill out a rubric (attached) measuring this SLO.
- ii. This is a direct measure.
- iii. Criteria for success—see attached rubric

<u>Indirect Measure:</u> In addition to the direct measures discussed above, SLO 2 will be measured indirectly with a survey that each graduating MA student will fill out at the time of the thesis/project defense. This survey will measure the

student's perception of how well their program of study prepared them to be able to do each of these SLOs. Open ended questions will also ask what the student feels are the strengths and the weakness of the program. (See attached survey.)

SLO 3: Students will demonstrate the ability to conduct research using library and online data bases.

Direct Measures:

- i. To assess this we will use each student's thesis/project defense as the assessment forum. Each of the student's three committee members will fill out a rubric (attached) measuring this SLO.
- ii. This is a direct measure.
- iii. Criteria for success—see attached rubric

<u>Indirect Measure:</u> In addition to the direct measures discussed above, SLO 3 will be measured indirectly with a survey that each graduating MA student will fill out at the time of the thesis/project defense. This survey will measure the student's perception of how well their program of study prepared them to be able to do each of these SLOs. Open ended questions will also ask what the student feels are the strengths and the weakness of the program. (See attached survey.)

SLO 4: Each student who is a teaching assistant will demonstrate the ability to design and teach course content and manage classroom interaction..

Direct Measures:

- i. Each student is observed in the classroom each semester by the TAs course supervisor or another faculty member using a standardized evaluation form.
- ii. This is a direct measure.
- iii. Criteria for success—see attached rubric

<u>Indirect Measure:</u> The indirect measure for SLO 4 (teaching) will be the TA's scores from their students' evaluations (EvaluationKIT).

B. <u>Who:</u> Data collection will be on-going and all graduating students will be assessed in the course of their thesis/project defense. We average approximately 7 defenses in a school year which over the 3-year reporting cycle will mean that we will have a total of about 21 students assessed per report.

3. What is the unit's process to analyze/interpret assessment data and use results to improve student learning?

a. Who will participate in the assessment process?

The data for SLOs 1-3 will be gathered in the course of the thesis/project defense by the student's three committee members. At this time, the student will also be asked to fill out the graduating survey as an indirect measure of SLOs 1-3. Our Program Advisement Coordinator will make sure that at the time of the defense, the committee has three copies (one for each committee member) of the three rubrics (to measure SLOs 1-3) and one copy of the survey to give to the student.

Assessment of SLO 4 (teaching) will be done each semester with all of our TAs through a classroom observation by the student's course supervisor or by another volunteer faculty observer using the attached rubric. These will be collected by the MA

director each semester from the students' course supervisor. Likewise, the students' teaching evaluation scores (EvaluationKIT) will be collected each semester by the MA director.

Each spring semester, the data for the SLOs that are to be reported (in a 3-year cycle with 2 reported the 1st year and one reported in years 2 and 3) will be analyzed by the MA committee who will all share in the data analysis, the writing of the report, and making the recommendations to the entire faculty. At this time, the MA Committee will evaluate the assessment mechanisms as well as the results of the assessment and make recommendations to the entire faculty for changes in the assessment plan, the curriculum, or the pedagogy that might be called for by the results of the assessment.

b. Briefly describe the process for consideration of the implications of the assessment for change.

After the MA committee finishes the analysis and interpretation of the assessment report and comes up with recommendations for improving student learning, we will meet as an entire faculty to discuss these recommendations and vote on the changes called for.

c. How, when, and to whom will recommendations be communicated? The results and recommendations of the MA committee will be communicated and discussed at the fall faculty retreat which happens each year the week before school starts. Or we may call a special meeting of the faculty early in the fall semester to discuss this.

.

Evaluators' Rubric

SLO #1: Students should be able to write in a clear, coherent manner appropriate to MA/PhD level writing.

Student prosensory details			ed argur	ments in the	form of explanations, examples, description,
Needs work 1	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA
2. Student ar	ticulate	s clear unders	tanding	and knowle	dge of the subject matter.
Needs work 1	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA
3. Student de	emons	trates good coi	mmand	of advanced	I-level vocabulary.
Needs work 1	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA
4. Student de forth)	emons	trates careful a	ttention	to the crafti	ng of the paper (typos, spelling, format, and so
Needs work 1	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA
5. Student de	emons	trates ability to	organiz	ze informatio	n in a logical sequence the reader can follow.
Needs work 1	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA
6. Student de	monst	rates the ability	to app	ropriately ide	entify and cite sources.
Needs work 1	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA

Evaluators' Rubric

SLO #2: Students should be able to demonstrate an ability to make an oral presentation that represents the level of professional and academic expertise appropriate to MA/PhD students.

1. Student is able to express complex information with clarity. Information is presented with excellent grammar and syntax using good word choices, clear explanations and good use of connotative language. Needs work Competent Excellent (does not apply or no way to tell) 1 2 3 NA 3. Student is able to synthesize information in a logical and organized structure. Main ideas are structured using an appropriate organizational pattern that is easy for the audience to follow. (does not apply or no way to tell) **Needs work** Competent Excellent 2 1 3 4. Student is able to utilize ample support for his or her arguments. Ideas are supported by appropriate, credible, effective forms of elaboration and sources are cited orally. Needs work Competent Excellent (does not apply or no way to tell) 1 2 3 4 5 5. Student is able to present using vocal quality. The rate, volume, pitch, inflection, pronunciation and articulation are appropriate. Excellent (does not apply or no way to tell) Needs work Competent 2 5 NA 1 3

6. Student is able to demonstrate physical behaviors that support the verbal message. The speaker's posture, gestures, eye contact, facial expressions and movement are effective.

4

Excellent

5

NA

(does not apply or no way to tell)

Needs work

1

2

Competent

3

Evaluators' Rubric

SLO #3: Students will be able to demonstrate an ability to conduct research using library and online data bases.

1. Student shows ability to find research.							
Needs work	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA		
2. Student shows ability to summarize research.							
Needs work	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA		
3. Student	show	s ability to e	valuat	e research.			
Needs work 1	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA		
4. Student	show	s ability to u	se/inc	orporate res	search to develop analysis.		
Needs work 1	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA		
5. Student	show	s ability to u	se cita	ation conver	ntions (e.g. APA, MLA, etc.)		
Needs work	2	Competent 3	4	Excellent 5	(does not apply or no way to tell) NA		

Evaluators' Rubric

SLO #4 (note: this is #6 for PhD): Each student who is a teaching assistant will demonstrate the ability to design and teach course content and manage classroom interaction.

Instructor Name:			MA or PhD							
Course:	Date:									
1—Strongly Disagree, 2—Disagree, 3—Neur	tral, 4— <i>E</i>	Agre	ee, 5	—St	rongl	у А	gree			
COURSE CONTENT										
1. The syllabus identified clear objectives		1	2	3	4	5	NA			
2. The syllabus included assignments and due dates	1	1	2	3	4	5	NA			
3. The course topics covered were appropriate		1	2	3	4	5	NA			
 The learning activities were effective for reinforcing th subject matter. 	e	1	2	3	4	5	NA			
5. The lecture/discussion topics were appropriate to the co	ourse	1	2	3	4	5	NA			
EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION										
6. Content presented was coherent and clearly organized		1	2	3	4	5	NA			
7. Instructor encouraged students to make comments and ask questions		1	2	3	4	5	NA			
8. Instructor asked thought provoking questions		1	2	3	4	5	NA			
9. Instructor provided prompt and constructive feedback		1	2	3	4	5	NA			
10. Instructor used appropriate vocabulary and grammar		1	2	3	4	5	NA			
11. Students seemed responsive		1	2	3	4	5	NA			
12. Students seemed to understand material		1	2	3	4	5	NA			

Outcome Assessment Indirect Measure

Exit Survey for Graduating PhD in Communication

Generally speal coherent manner a				study prepared me to write in a clear, ing.			
Strongly Disagree 1	2	3	4	Strongly Agree 5			
	epresents the			study prepared me to make an oral nd academic expertise appropriate to			
Strongly Disagree 1	2	3	4	Strongly Agree 5			
3. Generally speaking, I believe that my program of study prepared me to conduct research using library and online data bases.							
Strongly Disagree 1	2	3	4	Strongly Agree 5			
4. Generally speal research method as	-		•	study gave me an understanding of			
Strongly Disagree 1	2	3	4	Strongly Agree 5			
5. Generally speaking, I believe that my program of study gave me the ability to analyze and report data in an original research design.							
Strongly Disagree 1	2	3	4	Strongly Agree 5			

Please respond briefly to the following questions:
What, in your opinion are the strengths of the Communication PhD program?
What, in your opinion, are the weaknesses of the Communication PhD Program?
In general, how do you feel about the quality of the Communication PhD program.
Demographics: Ethnic Identity: Male Female Age: Under 25 25-45 46+