Women Studies Program Plan for Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes The University of New Mexico

A. College, Department and Date

1. College: College of Arts and Sciences/University of New Mexico/Main Campus

2. Department: Women Studies3. Date: August 15, 2015

B. Academic Program of Study

B.A. Women Studies

C. Contact Person(s) for the Assessment Plan

Rajeshwari S. Vallury, Director, Women Studies, E-mail: rvallury@unm.edu, Phone: 505-277-9119

D. Broad Program Goals & Measurable Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Broad Program Learning Goals for this Degree/Certificate Program
 - A. Students engage with interdisciplinary study of the relationships among identity, power, and knowledge.
 - B. Students understand how gender as a social category or identity formation intersects with and is articulated through race, sexuality, class, and nation.
 - C. Students have knowledge of and familiarity with feminist studies, queer theory and sexuality studies, transgender studies, critical race theory, and postcolonial/transnational feminist studies.
 - D. Students recognize and can take responsibility for one's position within social systems and have respect for divergent positions and perspectives.
 - E. Students experience and understand mechanisms for creation of community, social change, and empowerment with local, national, and/or transnational organizations and communities
- 2. List of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for this Degree/Certificate Program
 - A.1. Students can write a critical essay or conduct research that is informed by one or more of the following approaches: feminist studies, queer theory and sexuality studies, transgender studies, critical race theory, and postcolonial/transnational feminist studies.
 - B.1. Students can write a critical essay or conduct research in which they apply an intersectional analysis.

- C.1. Students can read theoretical texts and write an essay identifying the main ideas, arguments, and assumptions in these texts.
- D.1. Students can reflect on their position within social systems and describe why respect for divergent perspectives and positions is valuable.
- E.1. Students can apply knowledge of feminist theories in a field study experience and can describe techniques for social change in these settings.

E. Assessment of Student Learning Three-Year Plan

The following plan will be implemented over three years:

1. Student Learning Outcomes and their Relationship to UNM Student Learning Goals

University of New Mexico Student Learning Goals						
Program SLOs	Knowledge	Skills	Responsibility	Program SLO is conceptually different from university goals.		
A.1. Students can write a critical essay or conduct research that is informed by one or more of the following approaches: feminist studies, queer theory and sexuality studies, transgender studies, critical race theory, and postcolonial/transnational feminist studies.	X	X				
B.1. Students can write a critical essay or conduct research in which they apply an intersectional analysis.	X	X				
C.1. Students can read theoretical texts and write an essay identifying the main ideas, arguments, and assumptions in these texts.	X	X				
D.1. Students can reflect on their position within social systems and describe why respect for divergent perspectives and positions is valuable.	X	X	X			
E.1. Students can apply knowledge of feminist theories in a field study experience and can describe techniques for social change in these settings.	Х	X	X			

2. How will learning outcomes be assessed?

DIRECT MEASURES:

Instructors will use a rating scale to evaluate learning outcomes.

YEAR 1:

Courses: WMST 200 and WMST 492

Program Goals: A, B, C, D SLO's: A.1, B.1, C.1, D.1

Assignments: An essay, research paper, or student presentation

YEAR 2:

Courses: WMST 304 and WMST 325 or WMST 331

Program Goals: A, B, C, D SLO's: A.1, B.1, C.1, D.1

Assignments: An essay, research paper, or student presentation

YEAR 3:

Courses: WMST 379 or WMST 492 and WMST 498

Program Goals: D, E

SLO's: D.1 and E.1.

Assignments: Course assignments such as an essay, research paper, or student

presentation. For WMST 498, a combination of a course assignment and field experience evaluation may be used. Field experience will include employer/agency evaluations of specific student knowledge or skills from

field experience.

Program Expectations of Performance on Direct Measures

We expect 75% of students to meet an acceptable standard of performance using the scoring sheets attached as addenda (an average score of 3 or better). In keeping with the rotation established above, all students taught by the primary instructor of record (a faculty member, a lecturer, a term teacher, or in exceptional circumstances, an experienced part-time instructor) in the courses that form part of the core curriculum for the major will be assessed. These are: WMST 200, WMST 304, (WMST 325 or WMST 331), WMST 492, and WMST 498. At this time, sections taught by TA's will not be assessed. The number and type of assignments to be scored for assessment will be determined by the instructor, but a minimum of two key course assignments will be scored. Assessment data will be gathered and reported for all students registered in the courses that form part of the core curriculum for the major, because with the exception of WMST 492, a capstone seminar, it is not unusual for each of these courses to function as points of entry into the major. During the pilot years, we may adjust our recording and reporting structure to only capture majors and second majors.

SUMMARY OVERVIEW OF DIRECT MEASURES

Course	Program Goals	SLO's	Assignments	Rating Scale
WMST 200	A, B, D	A.1, B.1, D.1	Essay, presentation	See addenda
WMST 304	A, B, C, D	A.1, B.1, C.1, D.1	Essay, research paper, presentation	See addenda
WMST 325 and/or WMST 331	A, B, C, D	A.1, B.1, C.1, D.1	Essay, research paper, presentation	See addenda
WMST 379	A, B, C, D	A.1, B.1, C.1, D.1	Essay, research paper, presentation	See addenda
WMST 492	A, B, C, D	A.1, B.1, C.1, D.1	Essay, research paper, presentation	See addenda
WMST 498	D, E	D.1 and E.1	Any combination of a course assignment (essay, research paper, presentation) and employer/agency evaluations of specific student knowledge or skills from field experience.	Rating scale attached in Addenda or employer/agency evaluations of specific student knowledge or skills from field experience

INDIRECT MEASURES:

YEARS 1, 2, and 3

A questionnaire to be filled out by graduating seniors in the capstone seminar, WMST 492. Please see Addenda for the questionnaire.

Program Expectations of Performance on Indirect Measures

We expect 75% of graduating seniors to rate their learning as satisfactory on the survey sheet (an average score of 3 or better on all SLO's).

Evaluation Instruments and Rating Scales:

Instructors will use the attached rating scales (see Addenda) to measure the following SLO's: A.1, B.1, C1, and D.1.

For E.1, a combination of a rating scale and an employer/agency evaluation may be used (see table on previous page).

Instructors will use an additional template to measure SLO C.1.

Graduating seniors will fill out a self-assessment survey sheet.

3. When will learning outcomes be assessed? When and in what forum will the results of the assessment be discussed?

See pages 3 and 4 of this document for detailed timeline and rotation of courses to be assessed.

Analysis and application of Assessment Data

Various faculty for selected courses will collect data according to the schedule detailed on pages 3 and 4. For direct measures, the instructor of record will present data to the Director for discussion. The report will be shared with program faculty and members of the Executive Board. Indirect data will be collected by the instructor of record and/or the undergraduate advisor. They will be shared with the Director of the program and then presented to program faculty and the Executive Board.

4. What is the unit's process to analyze/interpret assessment data and use results to improve student learning?

Data will be analyzed according to the above schedule in order to assess various aspects of our curriculum: scheduling, emphasis in required core and elective courses, and the program's overall goals and outcomes. In addition, pedagogical strategies of the selected courses will be analyzed with respect to the intended outcomes of each course. The Director of the program will submit yearly reports over a three-year schedule (beginning Fall 2016). S/he will discuss data and apparent conclusions with faculty and Executive Board members in order to determine appropriate action. After a period of three years, the Director and faculty of the program will reassess the overall program learning goals and outcomes to ensure that they match current priorities and student learning goals.

Addendum 1 and Q&A	Outcomes Ass	sessment Scorin	g Sheet of Wor	nen Studies B.A. C	Oral Presentation
Name of Studen	nt/B.A. candida	ate			
Date of assessm	ent				
Semester/Year_					
Circle an evalua excellent.	ation of studen	t's performance	e of designated	skill, where 1 is po	or and 5 is
	neory and sexu	ality studies, tra		he following appro	
1	2	3	4	5	
(B.1.) Student a	pplies an inter	sectional analys	is		
1	2	3	4	5	
(C.1.) Student reassumptions of		etical text(s) and	identifies the 1	main ideas, argume	ents, and
1	2	3	4	5	
	(Or - In	dicate whether	C.1 or D.1 is b	eing assessed)	
(D.1.) Student redivergent perspe				ms and describes w	hy respect for
1	2	3	4	5	
Comments (plea	ase address qua	ality of answers	to questions):		

Addendum 2 -- Outcomes Assessment Scoring Sheet of Women Studies B.A. Written Essay and/or Research Paper

Name o	of B.A. cand	lidate				
Date of	assessmen	t				
Semeste	er/Year					
Circle an e excellent.	valuation o	f student's perfo	ormance of des	ignated skill, w	here 1 is poor an	nd 5 is
feminist stu	ıdies, queer		uality studies, t		of the following lies, critical race	
	1	2	3	4	5	
(B.1.) Stude	ent applies	an intersectiona	l analysis.			
	1	2	3	4	5	
` /		(a) theoretical to	` '	an essay identif	ying the main ide	eas,
	1	2	3	4	5	
` '		on his/her position and positions is v		systems and de	scribes why respe	ect for
	1	2	3	4	5	
		(Or indicate v	whether D.1 or	E.1 is being as	sessed)	
		knowledge of fer lange in these se		n a field study e	xperience and des	scribes
	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:						

Source: Kansas State University Office of Assessment

Addendum 3 -- Rubric for Women Studies SLO C.1.

Circle excelle	•	f student's perf	formance of des	ignated skill, v	where 1 is poor an	nd 5 is
I.	Comprehensio	on of main idea	a of subject pos	sition:		
			in idea (thesis) prehensible fasl	•	position in his/herader.	r own words
	1	2	3	4	5	
II.	Comprehensio	on of the main	arguments in	support of the	e subject position	ı :
			n reasons the au er to see their pl		apporting her/his	own position
	1	2	3	4	5	
III	.Points of powe	er and oppress	sion in the artic	ele:		
					or references in he ible to the reader.	er/his own
	1	2	3	4	5	
Avera	ge Score on C.1.	·				

Comments:			

Addendum 4 – Survey	of Graduating S	Seniors for Wo	men Studies B	.A.	
Name of B.A. cand	idate				
Semester/Year					
Date					
The Women Studies Pr have acquired through competence in a design	a major in Wor	nen Studies. C	ircle an evalua	tion of your lear	
(A.1.) I know or am far feminist studies, queer postcolonial/transnatio	theory and sexu	uality studies, t		_	-
1	2	3	4	5	
(B.1.) I understand how sexuality, class, or nati	•	cial category o	or identity form	ation intersects v	vith race,
1	2	3	4	5	
(C.1.) I can read (a) the the text(s).	oretical text(s) a	nd identify the	main ideas, arg	uments, and assur	nptions of
1	2	3	4	5	
(D.1.) I can reflect on m perspectives and position		n social system	s and describe	why respect for di	vergent
1	2	3	4	5	
(E.1.) I can apply know for social change in thes		t theories in a f	ield study exper	rience and describ	e techniques
1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:					