

**Department of Philosophy
University of New Mexico
Undergraduate Programs
Plan for Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes**

A. College, Department and Date

1. College: College of Arts and Sciences
2. Department: Philosophy
3. Date: 7 January 2009

B. Academic Program of Study

B.A. in Philosophy

C. Contact Person(s) for the Assessment Plan

Mary Domski, Assistant Professor & Outcomes Assessment Coordinator, Department of Philosophy,
Email: mdomski@unm.edu

John Taber, Professor and Chair, Department of Philosophy, Email: jataber@unm.edu

D. Broad Program Goals & Measurable Student Learning Outcomes

1. Broad Program Learning Goals for the B.A. in Philosophy

As indicated in the descriptions we include in the UNM Catalog, completion of any one of our four majors will enhance the liberal arts experience for UNM undergraduates and help prepare them for their post-baccalaureate pursuits. Regardless of which major option our students elect to pursue, our general goal is to deepen their knowledge of philosophy, teach them skills that will be an asset to them whatever their chosen career paths may be, and instill in them values that will help them to become life-long learners.

Knowledge: We want all our graduates to have a broad knowledge of both the history of philosophy and the different problem areas of philosophy (such as ethics, metaphysics, and epistemology). By the end of their course of study, they should be aware of issues that have motivated and continue to motivate philosophical debate. Such issues include (but are not limited to): the existence of God, the justification for religious belief, the nature and grounds of human knowledge, the existence and nature of the self, the possibility of free will, the nature and requirements of morality, and the nature and origin of political authority and social justice. These are issues that are covered quite generally in a standard one-semester PHIL 101: Introduction to Philosophy course, but upon completion of any one of our majors, students should have a deeper appreciation for why these questions persist in philosophical discourse as well as for the strategies (of past and present) that philosophers adopt to address these core philosophical problems.

Skills: There are three fundamental skills we want all our majors to master: critical reading, persuasive writing, and critical thinking.

In regard to developing the ability to read critically, we want our students to be able to follow complex, sustained reasoning about the theoretical problems philosophers find important, and to appreciate the scientific, historical, and cultural significance of those problems.

In regard to developing critical thinking skills, we want our students to be able to approach any given argument or text with a critical eye and assess the merits of the claims being made. Doing so effectively requires that they are able to identify what the author is asserting and what explicit and implicit assumptions he/she is making, analyze the argument the author develops to support his/her claim, assess whether the author's argument actually supports the conclusion he/she wants to draw, and determine whether there are good reasons to deny the truth of the claims the author is forwarding.

In regard to their writing skills, all our majors are asked to compose persuasive argument essays throughout the course of their study. Their general goal is to learn how to clearly and convincingly argue for a stated position. In our lower division courses, such as PHIL 156: Reasoning and Critical Thinking, students may be asked to defend their views on abortion or the death penalty, while in our upper division courses, students will more likely be asked to defend a particular interpretation of a historical text or critically engage with the ideas forwarded by a central figure in the history of philosophy. Whatever the specific task before them, effectively crafting an argument will require that students clearly present the position they are critiquing *and* lay out clear and convincing reasons that will persuade their reader of their own stated position.

Values: Philosophy literally means “the love of wisdom.” The highest goal of a philosophical education is to awaken such a love in students. We want our students to become true learners – curious, independent, inquiring minds eager to explore the world and to identify and examine assumptions in their own and others’ thinking. There should be nothing they do not want to know about. They should not have to rely on others setting goals for them; they should set their own learning goals. They should take responsibility for their own learning.

Learning goal specific to the B.A. in Philosophy

Our goal for those students opting to pursue the general philosophy major is to acquire a balanced knowledge of the history of philosophy and the different problem areas of philosophy (such as ethics, metaphysics, and epistemology). Thus, we require students to take at least two courses in the history of philosophy (PHIL 201 and PHIL 202), one course in logic (PHIL 356), one course in ethical theory (PHIL 358), and one course in either epistemology (PHIL 352) or metaphysics (PHIL 354). They then have the freedom to cater the curriculum to their own peculiar interests by selecting a 400-level course and upper division electives that cover other historical periods of philosophy (such as nineteenth and twentieth century Continental philosophy) and other areas of philosophical thought (such as Indian philosophy, philosophy of language, philosophy of science, and philosophy of art and aesthetics). Ultimately, as our curriculum for the general major suggests, we want our students to develop an appreciation for the interplay between the history of philosophy and the problem areas of philosophy.

2. List of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for B.A. in Philosophy

- A.1. Students can explain the main problems of metaphysics, epistemology, and ethics.
- A.2. Students can outline the history of philosophy from ancient to modern times, identifying its major periods, movements, and figures.
- A.3. Students can give a general account of the thought of at least one systematic philosopher in the history of philosophy and explain at least some of his/her views in depth.
- B.1. Students can represent the logical structure of an argument.
- B.2. Students can write a philosophical essay, explicating a philosophical idea or argument, evaluating its soundness and persuasiveness, and developing a counter-position.
- B.3. Students can articulate their own thoughts clearly in philosophical discussion and in writing.
- C.1. Students can articulate the meaning of philosophy for their own lives.

Student learning outcome specific to the B.A. in Philosophy

- P.1. Students can explain some general ways in which the history of philosophy is related to contemporary problem areas of philosophy.

E. Assessment of Student Learning Three-Year Plan

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Relationship to UNM Student Learning Goals (insert the program SLOs and check all that apply):

University of New Mexico Student Learning Goals				
Program SLOs	Knowledge	Skills	Responsibility	Program SLO is conceptually different from university goals.
A.1. Students can explain the main problems of metaphysics, epistemology, and ethics.	X			
A.3. Students can give a general account of the thought of at least one systematic philosopher in the history of philosophy and explain at least some of his/her views in depth.	X			
B.2. Students can write a philosophical essay, explicating a philosophical idea or argument, evaluating its soundness and persuasiveness, and developing a counter-position.		X		
B.3. Students can articulate their own thoughts clearly in philosophical discussion and in writing.		X		
C.1. Students can articulate the meaning of philosophy for their own lives.			X	
P.1. Students can explain some general ways in which the history of philosophy is related to contemporary problem areas of philosophy.	X			

2. How will learning outcomes be assessed?

A. What:

- i. *For each SLO, briefly describe the means of assessment, i.e., what samples of evidence of learning will be gathered or measures used to assess students' accomplishment of the learning outcomes in the three- year plan?*

The Philosophy Department faculty has identified two kinds of measurement tools as most useful in assessing the achievement of the above-described learning objectives among our philosophy majors: **(1) Portfolios**, i.e., sets of writing assignments that individual students completed for the required courses for the major, and **(2) Exit Interviews**.

By way of justification of (1) and (2): Writing and speaking are the two media of philosophy. Every philosophy course places a premium on the ability to express one's own ideas, analyze others' ideas, and develop persuasive arguments in both writing and philosophical discourse. The mastery of important philosophical theories and the systems of the great figures in the history of philosophy is exhibited primarily not by "objective tests," which rarely bring the creativity of students into play, but by the ability to articulate and reflect on them in writing. Thus, throughout the curriculum for each of our majors students are constantly being asked to write papers (varying in length, but the 4-5 page paper remains the standard). One of the most straightforward ways to measure the level of education of our students at any given time is, thus, to survey a collection of papers they have written. Meanwhile, they are also asked, throughout the curriculum, to develop the skills of articulating and defending positions, as well as respectfully critiquing the positions of others, in class discussion. The best way to measure these kinds of skills is to engage graduating seniors in some kind of philosophical discussion and observe how well they do. Thus, the measurement tools identified – portfolios and exit interviews – seem most apt for measuring the kinds of objectives we have set for our students.

SLOs A.1, A.3, B.1, B.2, B.3

(1) Portfolios. We will ask select students to compile portfolios of what they believe to be their best 3-4 papers from required courses for the major. For the general Philosophy major these will be: PHIL 201 or 201, 352 or 354, 358, and 441 or 442.

We will also ask that students submitting portfolios to provide (1) their GPA in the Philosophy major, (2) their overall GPA from courses taken at UNM, and if they are willing, (3) a one-page reflection on their experience writing papers for Philosophy courses at UNM. In their reflection we would ask students to whether their experience in courses required for the Philosophy major helped them develop as writers.

SLOs A.1, A.2, B.3, C.1, and P.1

(2) Exit Interviews. The purpose of the interview will be two-fold:

- (a) To collect information about the student's experiences in the undergraduate Philosophy program and learn, in particular,
 - which courses the student deemed his/her favorite and least favorite, and why;
 - which courses the student felt he/she learned the most in, and why;
 - in what ways the student thinks the Philosophy program or our individual courses could be improved.

- (b) To assess the ability of the student to discourse about philosophical topics.
For example, in the course of the interview the faculty interviewing the student will attempt to engage him/her in discussions about some of the most interesting ideas and theories he/she

encountered during his/her course of study, and observe how proficient the student is at articulating his/her own thoughts, outlining philosophical views/positions, and reflecting on those views/positions.

ii. *Indicate whether each measure is **direct** or **indirect**.*

Portfolios: Direct measure

Exit Interview: Direct measure

iii. *Briefly describe the **criteria for success** related to each direct or indirect means of assessment. What is the program's performance target (e.g., is an "acceptable or better" performance by 60% of students on a given measure acceptable to the program faculty)? If scoring rubrics are used to define qualitative criteria and measure performance, attach them to the plan as they are available.*

Portfolios: Papers included in student portfolios will be assessed according to the scoring rubric that is currently used for assessing assignments in our general education courses (namely, PHIL 101, 156, 201, and 202). Our expectation, of course, is that our majors will have scores higher than those collected from our general education courses. We will use the average scores from our core curriculum courses as a baseline, and success will be measured by the percentage of our majors who score higher than the Gen Ed average. Our target is to have at least 60% of the student portfolios score above the baseline.

Exit Interview: Student performance during the interview will be assessed using the interview assessment sheet (included below in Appendix 4). There are six items listed, and students can earn a score from 1 (unacceptable) to 4 (excellent). Our target is to have average scores fall between 3 (good) and 4 (excellent) for at least 2/3 of the questions, i.e., for four out of the six items listed on the rubric.

B. Who: State explicitly whether the program's assessment will include evidence from all students in the program or a sample. Address the validity of any proposed sample of students.

Portfolio Review: Each year that we evaluate portfolios, we will identify 4-8 students who 1) will either graduate that year or the following year, 2) have taken almost all of the coursework for the major, and 3) are willing to compile portfolios of what they believe to be their best 3-4 papers from required courses for the major.

Exit Interview: Each year that we conduct exit interviews, we will identify a different 4-8 students who 1) will either graduate that year or the following year, and 2) have taken almost all of the coursework for the major.

The Outcomes Assessment Coordinator for Philosophy will be in charge of identifying the students who will submit portfolios and participate in exit interviews. Since the process is entirely voluntary, the OA Coordinator will either solicit students by (1) sending a request for volunteers to the PHILMAJORS listserv or (2) by visiting sections of the 400-level courses required for the major (viz., for the general Philosophy major, PHIL 441 or 441) and request volunteers.

3. When will learning outcomes be assessed? When and in what forum will the results of the assessment be discussed?

As indicated in the table below, each of our four undergraduate major programs will be evaluated over the course of one academic year, beginning with the general Philosophy major in AY 09-10. The first semester of assessment will be dedicated to an evaluation of portfolios and the second semester will be dedicated to an evaluation of exit interviews. Given the relatively low number of students majoring in Economics-Philosophy and English-Philosophy, we will assess these programs during the same year. According to our proposed schedule, each major will have a comprehensive evaluation every 5 years. During those years in which we evaluate one of our major programs, the department as a whole (i.e., all full-time faculty members) will evaluate the findings gathered during the previous academic year at the first faculty meeting of the academic year.

		Philosophy	Pre-Law	Econ-Phil	English-Phil
Year 1 08-09	Fall 08	Develop rubrics			
	Spring 09	Collect Portfolios			
Year 2 09-10	Fall 09	Portfolio Review Sept 2009 SLOs A.1, A.3, B.2, B.3 Due 15 Sept 2009			
	Spring 10	Exit Interviews March 2010 SLOs A.1, C.1, P.1 Due 15 April 2010			
Year 3 10-11	Fall 10		Departmental discussion of assessment results; Revise rubrics as needed		
	Spring 11		Collect Portfolios		
Year 4 11-12	Fall 11		Portfolio Review Sept 2011 SLOs A.1, A.3, B.2, B.3 Due 15 Sept 2010		
	Spring 12		Exit Interviews March 2012 SLOs A.1, C.1, PL.1, PL.2 Due 15 April 2012		
Year 5 12-13	Fall 12			Departmental discussion of assessment results; Revise rubrics as needed	Departmental discussion of assessment results; Revise rubrics as needed
	Spring 13			Collect Portfolios	Collect Portfolios
Year 6 13-14	Fall 13			Portfolio Review Sept 2013 SLOs A.1, A.3, B.2, B.3 Due 15 Sept 2013	Portfolio Review Sept 2013 SLOs A.1, A.3, B.2, B.3 Due 15 Sept 2013
	Spring 14			Exit Interviews March 2014 SLOs A.1, C.1, EcP.1 Due 15 April 2014	Exit Interviews March 2014 SLOs A.1, C.1, EnP.1 Due 15 April 2014

4. What is the unit's process to analyze/interpret assessment data and use results to improve student learning?

Briefly describe:

1. *Who will participate in the assessment process (the gathering of evidence, the analysis/interpretation, recommendations).*

Portfolios

In a specified spring semester, beginning spring 2009, the Outcomes Assessment Coordinator for Philosophy (currently Mary Domski) will collect 5-10 student portfolios from students who meet the criteria outlined above.

At the beginning of the following fall semester, a committee of no less than three people will convene to assess the collected portfolios. At least two members will be full-time faculty; the third member could either be a graduate student or a lecturer in the Department of Philosophy.

The committee will assess the papers included in student portfolios according to the same scoring rubric that is currently used for assessing assignments in our general education courses (namely, PHIL 101, 156, 201, and 202). Our expectation, of course, is that our majors will have scores higher than those collected from our general education courses.

Each faculty member will individually assess all the papers, and in addition, each faculty member will give each portfolio an overall assessment based on the scores generated using the rubric. See Appendix 1 for the rubric and portfolio scoring sheet. The committee will then convene and draft a report on the students' performance that will be presented to the faculty as a whole at a departmental meeting prior to 15 September.

Exit Interviews

A committee of no less than three people will convene to conduct exit interviews during a specified spring semester, ideally in March. At least two members will be full-time faculty; the third member could either be a graduate student or a lecturer in the Department of Philosophy.

Each committee member will individually assess the student's performance during the interview using the interview assessment sheet (included below in Appendix 2). The committee will then convene and, based on the collected data, complete a report detailing what was learned from the interviews. The report will be completed by 15 April and presented to the faculty at the final faculty meeting of the spring semester.

2. *the process for consideration of the implications of assessment for change:*
 - a. *to assessment mechanisms themselves,*
 - b. *to curriculum design,*
 - c. *to pedagogy**...in the interest of improving student learning.*

At the first faculty meeting of the following academic year, the department as a whole will examine the data collected from the student portfolios and interviews and the reports compiled by the portfolio and exit interview committees. We will use this data and the committee recommendations as we consider possible revisions to (1) the rubrics used for the Philosophy major assessment, (2) the curriculum for the Philosophy major, and (3) the assignments and methods we use in the courses required for our major.

3. How, when, and to whom will recommendations be communicated?

If the department recommends changes to the rubrics used for the Philosophy major assessment, these will be communicated to the department's Outcomes Assessment Coordinator, either during a faculty meeting or by other means (e.g., via email) sometime after our first faculty meeting of the year. Any recommended changes to the curriculum for our majors will be communicated to the department Chair, again, either during a faculty meeting or by other means (e.g., via email) sometime after our first faculty meeting of the year. Possible changes in pedagogy will have to be considered by full-time faculty members and also communicated to other instructors in our department sometime after our first faculty meeting of the year.